

MUTP/93/28

Functional determinants on spheres and sectors

J.S.Dowker

*Department of Theoretical Physics,
The University of Manchester, Manchester, England.*

Abstract

The scalar functional determinants on sectors of the two-dimensional disc and spherical cap are determined for arbitrary angles (rational factors of π). The wholesphere and hemisphere expressions are also given, in low dimensions, for both the ordinary and the conformal Laplacian.

December 1993

1. Introduction.

This paper is a direct continuation of a previous one, [1], where we discussed the effective, one-loop action on those regions of the sphere that are fundamental domains of the finite subgroups of $O(3)$. The simplest of these are the dihedral and double dihedral groups, corresponding to the lune and half-lune respectively. The angle, β , of the lune equals π/q , with q integral.

Of course the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on a lune can be found for any angle and it is this case we wish to look at here. A stereographic projection enables the functional determinant, $\det(-\nabla^2)$, on a disc sector of angle β to be found, as well as that on the sector of a spherical cap – an equilateral spherical triangle, $(2, 2, \pi/\beta)$.

An interesting open problem is the determination of $\det(-\nabla^2)$ on a general geodesic spherical triangle, to parallel the recent result of Aurell and Salomonson [2] for planar triangles.

The evaluation of functional determinants is something of an aesthetic computational puzzle, involving eigenvalue finding and analytical continuation. Only certain manifolds can be treated efficiently or prettily and it is in this context that the following results are presented.

The most interesting systems are the hyperbolic ones, with the positive curvature case being trivial, in some sense. For this reason, there exists less work on spherical domains. However, in our opinion, there are still some attractive areas worth exploring.

We begin with a discussion of functional determinants on the *complete* sphere. Although our concern is really with regions of the sphere and plane, a re-examination of the wholesphere is relevant in view of recent work by mathematicians, [3, 4]. In [1] we rederived known results on the whole two-sphere as a byproduct of the formulae on the quotient S^2/Γ , in particular on the hemisphere. Our intention here is to continue this to the higher spheres more explicitly. Attention is restricted to minimal coupling, *i.e.* to the ζ -function of the Laplacian, and to conformal coupling, *i.e.* to $\det(-\nabla^2 + R(d-2)/4(d-1))$.

2. The wholesphere and the hemisphere.

The ζ -function on spheres has been studied for a long time [5] and has benefitted from a detailed examination over the past fifteen years or so, *e.g.* [6]. Quantities calculated are, for example, the conformal anomaly and the one-loop vacuum energy. These involve the evaluation of the ζ -function at negative half-integers. Our interest here is with the functional determinant.

Define the ζ -function, *cf* [1],

$$\zeta(s, a, \alpha; \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((a + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2 - \alpha^2)^s} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ are d -vectors and α equals $(d-1)/2$ for minimal coupling and $1/2$ for conformal. The ζ -function on a portion of the d -sphere, S^d/Γ , corresponds to

the values $a = (d + 1)/2$ for Dirichlet conditions, and $a = (d - 1)/2$ for Neumann conditions. For the latter, the term $\mathbf{m} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is to be omitted from the sum for minimal coupling. If $\mathbf{r} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, giving the hemisphere, the sum over the $\mathbf{m}$ can be done to yield the general form,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+d-1}{d-1} \frac{1}{((a+m)^2 - \alpha^2)^s}. \quad (2)$$

We may show that, as can be anticipated, the whole ζ -function is the sum of the hemisphere Dirichlet and Neumann ζ -functions. Translating the Dirichlet summation integer by unity, we get, concentrating on minimal coupling,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_N(s) + \zeta_D(s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\binom{m+d-1}{d-1} + \binom{m+d-2}{d-1} \right] \frac{1}{(m(m+d-1))^s} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\binom{m+d}{d} - \binom{m+d-2}{d} \right] \frac{1}{(m(m+d-1))^s} \\ &= \zeta_{\text{rot}}(s), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

after some minor rearrangement. The standard eigenvalues and degeneracies can be recognised in this. They are very old.

A traditional way, [5, 7, 8], of dealing with this expression is to write the eigenvalues as in (2) and use the Bessel function identity

$$\frac{1}{(z^2 - \alpha^2)^s} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt} \left(\frac{t}{2\alpha} \right)^{s-1/2} I_{s-1/2}(\alpha t) dt \quad (4)$$

to allow the sum over m to be performed. Pursuing this path involves a mild analytic continuation and would doubtless ultimately lead to our goal. For present purposes we prefer the method in [1] which is the reasonably standard one of expanding in powers of α . This produces expressions in terms of the Barnes ζ -function, [9]

$$\zeta_d(s, a | \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{r})^s}, \quad \text{Re } s > d. \quad (5)$$

For $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_d(s, a) &= \frac{i\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi} \int_L \frac{e^{z(d/2-a)} (-z)^{s-1}}{2^d \sinh^d(z/2)} dz \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+d-1}{d-1} \frac{1}{(a+m)^s}, \quad \text{Re } s > d. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

L is the Hankel contour. As usual, if $a = 0$, it will be understood that the $m = 0$ term is not included in the sum.

With this convention in mind, the formulae derived in [1] allow one to write,

$$\zeta'_N(0) = \zeta'_d(0, 0) + \zeta'_d(0, d-1) + \ln(d-1) - \sum_{r=1}^u \frac{\alpha^{2r}}{r} N_{2r}^N(d) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2k+1} \quad (7)$$

$$\zeta'_D(0) = \zeta'_d(0, 1) + \zeta'_d(0, d) - \sum_{r=1}^u \frac{\alpha^{2r}}{r} N_{2r}^D(d) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2k+1}. \quad (8)$$

Here u equals $d/2$ if d is even, and $(d-1)/2$ if d is odd. N is the residue of the Barnes ζ -function,

$$\zeta_d(s+r, a) \rightarrow \frac{N_r(d)}{s} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0. \quad (9)$$

N depends on a and is given by a generalized Bernoulli polynomial. In the present case it is easiest to find the residue directly from the integral in (6). This has earlier appeared [10] in connection with cosmic string calculations and should also emerge from (4), [8].

In accordance with our previous result, (3), the wholesphere value is obtained by adding (7) and (8). The final terms produce the combination $N^N + N^D$ which, from the poles in the Γ -function in (6), equals

$$\begin{aligned} N_{2r}^N(d) + N_{2r}^D(d) &= \frac{i2^{2r-d+1}}{2\pi(2r-1)!} \oint_C \frac{z^{2r-1} \cosh z}{\sinh^d z} dz \\ &= \frac{2^{2r-d+1}}{2\pi i(d-1)(2r-2)!} \oint_C \frac{z^{2r-2}}{\sinh^{d-1} z} dz \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where C is a small contour around the origin and z has been rescaled by a factor of two. If d is odd, the integrand is even and the integral is zero. If d is even we pick out the term proportional to $1/z$ using the standard expansion

$$\left(\frac{z}{\sinh z} \right)^{d-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} D_{2\nu}^{(d-1)} \frac{z^{2\nu}}{(2\nu)!} \quad (11)$$

to get the residue $N_{2r}^{\text{rot}}(d) = N_{2r}^N(d) + N_{2r}^D(d)$,

$$N_{2r}^{\text{rot}}(d) = \frac{2^{2r-d+1}}{(d-1)(2r-2)!(d-2r)!} D_{d-2r}^{(d-1)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq d/2. \quad (12)$$

in terms of the D -coefficients related to generalised Bernoulli functions.

An explicit realisation suitable for symbolic manipulation is

$$N_{2r}^{\text{rot}}(d) = \frac{2}{(d-1)!(2r-2)!} \frac{d^{2r-2}}{dx^{2r-2}} \prod_{i=1}^{d-2} (x-i) \Big|_{x=(d-1)/2}. \quad (13)$$

We next deal with the four ζ -function derivatives. Adjustment of the lower limit of the summations, where necessary, gives

$$\zeta_d(s, 0) + \zeta_d(s, d-1) + \zeta_d(s, 1) + \zeta_d(s, d) =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\binom{m+d-1}{d-1} + \binom{m+d-2}{d-1} + \binom{m-1}{d-1} + \binom{m}{d-1} \right] \frac{1}{m^s}. \quad (14)$$

Any undefined factorials are to be defined by Γ -functions and cancellations have occurred.

The combination of factorials is rewritten

$$\binom{m}{d-1} - (-1)^d \binom{-m}{d-1} + \binom{m-1}{d-1} - (-1)^d \binom{-m-1}{d-1},$$

which is a polynomial in m , even for odd d and odd for even, and can be expressed in terms of Stirling numbers, $S_j^{(k)}$. Some relevant expansions are also given in [11]. An alternative to expanding the factorials is to work directly from the integral in (6).

The derivative of (14) at $s = 0$ can thus be written as a sum of derivatives of Riemann ζ -functions evaluated at nonpositive integers.

Putting all the pieces together, we obtain the following formula enabling the regularised functional determinant on the complete, unit d -sphere, $e^{-\zeta'_{\text{rot}}(0)}$, to be found,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_{\text{rot}}(0) = & \frac{1}{(d-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (1 - (-1)^{d+k}) (S_{d-1}^{(k)} + S_d^{(k+1)}) \zeta'_R(-k) \\ & - \sum_{r=1}^u \frac{(d-1)^{2r}}{2^{2r} r} N_{2r}^{\text{rot}}(d) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2k+1} + \ln(d-1). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Some low-dimensional expressions for $\zeta'_{\text{rot}}(0)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
d = 2, \quad & 4\zeta'_R(-1) - \frac{1}{2} \approx -1.1617 \\
d = 3, \quad & 2\zeta'_R(-2) + 2\zeta'_R(0) + \ln 2 \approx -1.2056 \\
d = 4, \quad & \frac{2}{3}\zeta'_R(-3) + \frac{13}{3}\zeta'_R(-1) - \frac{15}{16} + \ln 3 \approx -0.5521 \\
d = 5, \quad & \frac{1}{6}\zeta'_R(-4) + \frac{23}{6}\zeta'_R(-2) + 2\zeta'_R(0) + \ln 4 \approx -0.5670 \\
d = 6, \quad & \frac{1}{30}\zeta'_R(-5) + 2\zeta'_R(-3) + \frac{149}{30}\zeta'_R(-1) - \frac{455}{432} + \ln 5 \approx -0.2547 \\
d = 7, \quad & \frac{1}{180}\zeta'_R(-6) + \frac{13}{18}\zeta'_R(-4) + \frac{949}{180}\zeta'_R(-2) + 2\zeta'_R(0) + \ln 6 \approx -0.2009.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Figure 1 gives a plot of the values and shows a different behaviour for odd and even dimensions. Perhaps this is not unexpected for low dimensions but one might have hoped that the values would converge for large d . A curiosity is the crossing exactly on the axis around $d \approx 8.5$, allowing for the interpolation.

The two-sphere result appears to have been derived first by Hortaçsu *et al* [12] and later by Weisberger [13]. Another treatment is given by Voros [14] as an example of a general method of evaluating functional determinants. (There is a minor misprint in equation (6.41) of this reference.)

The paper by Vardi [15] also contains a method of evaluating these determinants. The basic calculational formula is Proposition 3.1, which we repeat here. Defining

$$H_p(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^p}{(m(m+n))^s} \tag{17}$$

then

$$H'_p(0) = \sum_{k=1}^n (k-n)^p \ln k - \frac{(-n)^{p+1}}{2(p+1)} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{j} + \zeta'_R(-p) + (-n)^p \sum_{r=0}^p \binom{p}{r} \frac{\zeta'_R(-r)}{(-n)^r}. \tag{18}$$

The case when $p = 1$ was already given by Hortaçsu *et al* [12]. This result has been used by Gillet *et al* [16] in connection with analytic torsion and the arithmetic Todd genus.

The ζ -function on the d -sphere is built out of the H_p for various p . In the case of the 3-sphere we have checked that it gives the result in (16) and not that exhibited in [15], *viz.* $2\zeta'_R(-2) - \zeta'_R(-1) + 3\zeta'_R(0)$. Other values of d have also been checked. We conclude that there is some arithmetic error in Vardi's substitutions. This renders the particular relation between $\Gamma_3(1/2)$ and the functional determinants in Theorem 1.1 of [15] incorrect. It seems the relation should read $\Gamma_3(1/2) = \det \Delta_3^{7/16} \det \Delta_2^{3/8} \det \Delta_1^{-1/8} 2^{5/12} \exp(-3/16) \approx 1.831123$.

Confirmation of our value of $\zeta'_{\text{rot}}(0)$ can be found in the calculations of Nash and O'Connor [17], Appendix A, who, incidental to a discussion of analytic torsion, obtained -1.20563 by somewhat roundabout means.

In this connection, consider the ζ -function

$$\zeta(s, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^2}{(m^2 - \beta^2)^s}. \quad (19)$$

When $\beta = 1$, and the sum runs from 2 upwards, this is ζ_{rot} on the three-sphere, (3). The method in [1] can be applied directly to (19) and leads to

$$\zeta'(0, \beta) = 2\zeta'_R(-2) - \beta^2 \ln \sin(\pi\beta) + 2 \int_0^\beta x \ln \sin(\pi x) dx. \quad (20)$$

Letting β tend to unity in (19),

$$\zeta'(0, \beta) \rightarrow \zeta'_{\text{rot}}(0) - \ln(1 - \beta) - \ln 2, \quad (21)$$

while from (20)

$$\zeta'(0, \beta) \rightarrow 2\zeta'_R(0) - \ln \pi - \ln(1 - \beta) + 2 \int_0^1 x \ln \sin(\pi x) dx.$$

The integral equals $-\ln \sqrt{2}$ and combining these two equations reproduces the formula given above. A similar discussion can be given for the general sphere.

There is virtually no extra work in obtaining the hemisphere results and we place on record the first few expressions for $\zeta'_N(0) - \zeta'_D(0)$ from which the requisite ζ -functions can be found by averaging with (16),

$$\begin{aligned} d=2, & \quad 2\zeta'_R(0) \\ d=3, & \quad 2\zeta'_R(-1) + \frac{1}{2} + \ln 2 \\ d=4, & \quad \zeta'_R(-2) + 2\zeta'_R(0) + \ln 3 \\ d=5, & \quad \frac{1}{3}\zeta'_R(-3) + \frac{11}{3}\zeta'_R(-1) + \frac{5}{18} + \ln 4. \end{aligned}$$

The two-hemisphere result is due to Weisberger [18] and is rederived in [1].

3. The conformal Laplacian.

A motivation for studying the conformal Laplacian is that, in the case of the hemisphere, a conformal mapping from S^d to $\mathbb{R}^d$ enables the Laplacian functional determinant in a d -ball to be found, extending the $d = 2$ result of Weisberger [18]. (See also [19].) Unfortunately the relevant transformation has been worked out only for $d = 3$ and $d = 4$, [20]. The details of this specific application will be given at another time.

For $\alpha = 1/2$, the ζ -function cannot be written as a sum of the H_p of (17), and Vardi's Proposition 3.1 is not applicable. Our method proceeds straightforwardly. In place of (7) and (8) we have

$$\zeta'_N(0) = \zeta'_d(0, d/2) + \zeta'_d(0, d/2 - 1) - \sum_{r=1}^u \frac{1}{2^{2r} r} N_{2r}^N(d) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2k+1} \quad (22)$$

$$\zeta'_D(0) = \zeta'_d(0, d/2) + \zeta'_d(0, d/2 + 1) - \sum_{r=1}^u \frac{1}{2^{2r} r} N_{2r}^D(d) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2k+1}. \quad (23)$$

Since a remains the same, N^N and N^D are unaltered.

As in the previous section, it is convenient to discuss the sum, $\zeta_{\text{rot}} = \zeta_N + \zeta_D$, and the difference, $\zeta_{\text{diff}} = \zeta_N - \zeta_D$, separately. One has to treat odd and even d individually. Setting $d = 2e$ or $d = 2e + 1$, we have for even d ,

$$2\zeta_d(s, d/2) + \zeta_d(s, d/2 - 1) + \zeta_d(s, d/2 + 1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[2 \binom{m+e-1}{2e-1} + \binom{m+e-2}{2e-1} + \binom{m+e}{2e-1} \right] \frac{1}{m^s} \quad (24)$$

while for odd d , this combination is

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[2 \binom{m+e}{2e} + \binom{m+e-1}{2e} + \binom{m+e+1}{2e} \right] \frac{1}{(m+1/2)^s} \quad (25)$$

For the difference, ζ_{diff} , the first terms in (24) and (25) are removed and the signs of the last terms are reversed.

Rather than produce a general expression, the results for S^3 , S^4 , S^5 and S^6 will

be exhibited,

$$\begin{aligned}
d = 3, \quad & \frac{5}{4}\zeta'_R(-2) + \zeta'_R(0) - \frac{1}{4}\ln 2 \approx -1.1303, \\
& \frac{1}{8} - 3\zeta'_R(-1) + \frac{1}{12}\ln 2 \approx 0.6790. \\
d = 4, \quad & \frac{2}{3}\zeta'_R(-3) + \frac{1}{3}\zeta'_R(-1) + \frac{1}{144} \approx -0.04461, \\
& -\zeta'_R(-2) \approx 0.03045. \\
d = 5, \quad & \frac{17}{96}\zeta'_R(-4) + \frac{5}{48}\zeta'_R(-2) - \frac{1}{16}\zeta'_R(0) + \frac{1}{64}\ln 2 \approx 0.06651, \\
& \frac{1}{8}\zeta'_R(-1) - \frac{3}{8}\zeta'_R(-3) - \frac{5}{576} - \frac{11}{2880}\ln 2 \approx -0.03402. \\
d = 6, \quad & \frac{1}{30}(\zeta'_R(-5) - \zeta'_R(-1)) - \frac{1}{1350} \approx 0.00475, \\
& \frac{1}{12}(\zeta'_R(-2) - \zeta'_R(-4)) \approx -0.00320.
\end{aligned}$$

The first line gives $\zeta'_{\text{rot}}(0)$ and the second, $\zeta'_{\text{diff}}(0)$. As d becomes bigger, the values rapidly decrease and oscillate about zero.

The $d = 4$ wholesphere result agrees with that of Branson and Ørsted [3] who use Weisberger's method [13].

4. Lunes of any angle.

From now on, attention is confined to regions of the two-sphere. Gromes, [21], gives the eigenvalues of $-\nabla^2$ for Dirichlet conditions on a lune of angle β as $\lambda = \nu(\nu + 1)$ with

$$\nu = \frac{\pi}{\beta}m + n, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad . \quad (26)$$

The eigenfunctions are $\sin(\mu\phi) P_\nu^{-\mu}(\cos\theta)$ with $\mu = m\pi/\beta$. (See Pockels [22] pp100-109.)

For a half-lune the eigenvalues are as in (26) except that n ranges over positive, odd integers. For Neumann conditions, n is nonnegative even. The discussion that follows uses Dirichlet conditions exclusively.

In terms of (1) the lune ζ -function is

$$\zeta_{\text{lune}}(s) = \zeta\left(s, 1/2 + \pi/\beta, 1/2; 1, \pi/\beta\right) \quad (27)$$

and that for the half-lune

$$\zeta_{\text{half lune}}(s) = \zeta(s, 3/2 + \pi/\beta, 1/2; 2, \pi/\beta). \quad (28)$$

The analysis of [1] proceeds without change (but with q replaced by π/β) up to the evaluation of the Barnes ζ -function and its derivative. For arbitrary β , a more involved continuation would be necessary but the method of [1] can be extended to the case when β is a *rational* part of π , $\beta = q_1\pi/q_2$ i.e. $r_2 = q_2/q_1$, with q_1 and q_2 integers. An interpolation of our previous values can then be obtained.

A simple rescaling rewrites the ζ -function (1) as

$$\zeta(s) \equiv \zeta(s, a, \alpha; r_1, q_2/q_1) = r_1^{-2s} \zeta(s, a/r_1, \alpha/r_1; 1, q_2/r_1 q_1) \quad (29)$$

The ratio $q_2/r_1 q_1$ is reduced to a relatively prime quotient, e_2/e_1 , and a further scaling gives

$$\zeta(s) \equiv \zeta(s, a, \alpha; r_1, q_2/q_1) = \left(\frac{e_1}{r_1}\right)^{2s} \zeta(s, ae_1/r_1, \alpha e_1/r_1; e_1, e_2) \equiv \left(\frac{e_1}{r_1}\right)^{2s} \zeta_0(s). \quad (30)$$

ζ_0 can be treated by the methods of [1], the formulae from which can be taken over directly, reinterpreting the quantities a , α , d_1 and d_2 there as $e_1 a/r_1$, $e_1 \alpha/r_1$, e_1 and e_2 . For the lune, $r_1 = 1$ while for the half-lune, $r_1 = 2$; also $\alpha = 1/2$.

From (30)

$$\zeta(0) = \zeta_0(0), \quad \zeta'(0) = 2 \ln(e_1/r_1) \zeta_0(0) + \zeta'_0(0). \quad (31)$$

For the derivative in (31) we use the expression given in [1] in terms of the Barnes ζ -function, ζ_d ,

$$\zeta'_0(0) = \zeta'_2(0, e_1 + e_2 \mid \mathbf{e}) + \zeta'_2(0, e_1 + e_2 - e_1/r_1 \mid \mathbf{e}) - \frac{\alpha^2 e_1}{r_1^2 e_2}, \quad (32)$$

and for the derivative of the Barnes ζ -function the form involving a single Hurwitz ζ -function,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_2(0, b \mid \mathbf{e}) &= \frac{1}{e_1 e_2} (\zeta'_R(-1, b) + \sum_i (\nu_i + b) \ln(\nu_i + b)) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (1 - w_b) \left(\ln(\Gamma(w_b)/\sqrt{(2\pi)}) - (1/2 - w_b) \ln(e_1 e_2) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

is preferred, despite the appearance of the (easily calculated) ‘missing integers’ ν_i described in [1].

In (33),

$$w_b = \frac{b}{e_1 e_2} + \frac{p_1}{e_1} + \frac{p_2}{e_2} \quad (34)$$

where the limits on the sums are $0 \leq p_1 \leq e_1 - 1$, $0 \leq p_2 \leq e_2 - 1$.

The ζ -function at the origin needed in (31) is

$$\zeta_0(0) = \zeta_2(0, e_2 + e_1 - e_1/2r_1 \mid \mathbf{e}) + \frac{\alpha^2 e_1}{2r_1^2 e_2} \quad (35)$$

and for $\zeta_2(0)$

$$\zeta_2(0, b \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{1}{12e_1 e_2} (6b^2 - 6b(e_1 + e_2) + e_1^2 + e_2^2 + 3e_1 e_2). \quad (36)$$

Figure 2 shows the results for a half-lune. The effective action $W_{\text{half lune}} = -\zeta'(0)/2$ is plotted against the angle $\beta = \pi q_2/q_1$. There is a shallow, local minimum just above 59 deg ($\approx 59^\circ 15'$).

4. Sectors of any angle.

A stereographic projection of the half-lune $(2, 2, \pi/\beta)$ onto the equatorial plane, from the north pole say, gives a sector of angle β of the unit disc, if the β -vertex is at the south pole. Application of the Lüscher-Symanzik-Weiss-Polyakov conformal transformation, [23, 24, 25, 26], enables one to obtain the functional determinant on such a sector from that on the half-lune. Rescaling the sector and projecting back onto the sphere allows the functional determinant on a more general $(2, 2, \pi/\beta)$ spherical triangle to be determined. We pursue this program in this section.

The results of [19] allow the effective action of a sector of angle β to be written

$$W_{\text{sector}} = W_{\text{half lune}} - \frac{\beta}{6\pi} + \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\pi} \right) \ln(2/a) - \frac{1}{8} \ln a. \quad (37)$$

In order to change the radius to a , an amount $\ln a \zeta(0)$ has been subtracted. $\zeta(0)$ is given by (35) with $r_1 = 2$,

$$\zeta(0) = \zeta_0(0) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\pi} \right). \quad (38)$$

Figure 3 shows a plot of W_{sector} , for $a = 1$, against angle. Of course, in the computation, the angle β has been taken to be a rational part of π .

Having found the effective action on a disc-sector of arbitrary radius, we place its centre at the origin and stereographically project back onto the unit sphere thereby obtaining an isosceles spherical triangle, $(2, 2, \pi/\beta)$ with angle β at the south pole.

A slight modification of the calculation in [19] for a cap, allowing for the different range of angle integration, yields

$$W_{\text{triangle}}(\beta, \sigma) = W_{\text{sector}}(\beta, \sigma) - \frac{\beta}{24\pi} (4 \ln 2 - \sigma + \sigma(1 - \sigma) \ln(2 - \sigma) + 3\sigma^2 - 9\sigma + 3) \\ - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} - \frac{\beta}{\pi} \right) \ln 2 + \frac{1}{8} \ln(2 - \sigma) \quad (39)$$

where the final two terms come from the corners. The area of the triangle is $\beta\sigma$ and it has sides γ , γ and $\beta \sin \gamma$ where $\sigma = 1 - \cos \gamma$. Also $\sigma = a^2/(1 + a^2)$ and $\kappa = \cot \gamma$.

Combined with (37), (39) becomes

$$W_{\text{triangle}}(\beta, \sigma) = W_{\text{half lune}}(\beta) + \frac{1}{48} (2\beta\sigma(2 - \sigma) - 3 + \frac{\pi}{\beta} - \frac{\beta}{\pi}) \ln(2 - \sigma) - \\ \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{\pi}{\beta} - \frac{\beta}{\pi} + 4 \right) \ln \sigma + \frac{\beta}{24\pi} (3\sigma - 7)(\sigma - 1). \quad (40)$$

This expression always diverges as σ tends to 0 and, except at the angle $\beta \approx 54^\circ 30'$, also as $\sigma \rightarrow 2$. Figure 4 shows a contour plot of the effective action as a function of the angle β and the variable σ . There is a saddle point at $\beta \approx 90^\circ$, $\sigma \approx 1.64$.

Perhaps it should be remarked that in the $\sigma = 2$ limit, the triangle does not become a lune of angle π/β , except in a crude, pictorial sense. The β vertex is not approximated by two right angles joined by an infinitesimal arc of latitude, which is what the third side of the triangle tends to as it approaches the north pole.

5. Comments.

The discussion of the wholesphere, and the hemisphere, shows, we think, that our method, [1], has some technical and interpretative advantages over the others available, [12,13,15]. Our technique is closest in spirit to that of Weisberger [13].

A continuation of the lune ζ -function for arbitrary β is called for and can be derived using the standard technique of Euler summation. This adds nothing numerically to what we already have but is intellectually more satisfying.

FIGURE CAPTIONS.

Figure 1. Derivative at zero, F , of the ζ -function on a sphere plotted against sphere dimension, d . The dotted line joins even- d values.

Figure 2. Effective action for the half-lune $(2, 2, \pi/\beta)$ plotted against angle $\beta = q_2\pi/q_1$ with $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Figure 3. Effective action for a sector of angle β of the unit disc.

Figure 4. Effective action for an isosceles spherical triangle against σ and included angle β .

References

- [1] J.S.Dowker *Effective action in spherical domains. Comm. Math. Phys.* to be published.
- [2] E.Aurell and P.Salomonsen *On functional determinants of Laplacians in polygons and simplices.*
- [3] T.P.Branson and B.Ørsted *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **113** (1991) 669.
- [4] J.R.Quine, S.H.Heydari and R.Y.Song *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **338** (1993) 213.
- [5] S.Minakshisudaram *J. Ind. Math. Soc.* **13** (1949) 41.
- [6] R.Camporesi *Physics Reports* **196** (1990) 1.
- [7] P.Candelas and S.Weinberg *Nucl. Phys.* **B237** (1984) 397.
- [8] A.Chodos and E.Myers *Ann. Phys.* **156** (1984) 412 .
- [9] E.W.Barnes *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **19** (1903) 374.
- [10] J.S.Dowker *Phys. Rev.* **36** (1986) 1111.
- [11] E.W.Barnes *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **19** (1903) 426.
- [12] M.Hortacsu, K.D.Rothe and B.Schroer *Phys. Rev.* **D20** (1979) 3203.
- [13] W.I.Weisberger *Nucl. Phys.* **BB284** (1987) 171.
- [14] A.Voros *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987) 110.
- [15] I.Vardi *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988) 493.
- [16] H.Gillet, C.Soulé and D.Zagier *Topology* **30** (1992) 21.
- [17] C.Nash and D.J.O'Connor *Determinants of Laplacians, the Ray-Singer torsion on lens spaces and the Riemann zeta function.*
- [18] W.I.Weisberger *Comm. Math. Phys.* **112** (1987) 633.
- [19] J.S.Dowker *Functional determinants on regions of the plane and sphere. Class. Quant. Grav.* to be published.
- [20] J.S.Dowker and J.P.Schofield *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 808.
- [21] D.Gromes *Math. Zeit.* **94** (1966) 110.
- [22] F.Pockels *Über die partielle differentialgleichung $\Delta u + k^2 u = 0$* , B.G.Teubner, Leipzig 1891.
- [23] M.Lüscher, K.Symanzik and P.Weiss *Nucl. Phys.* **B173** (1980) 365.
- [24] A.M.Polyakov *Phys. Lett.* **103B** (1981) 207.
- [25] L.Bukhbinder, V.P.Gusynin and P.I.Fomin *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **44** (1986) 534.
- [26] O.Alvarez *Nucl. Phys.* **B216** (1983) 125.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9312080v1>